| Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY | Operator ID#: 32513 |
|---|---------------------|
| Inspection Date(s): 5/27/2014, 5/28/2014 | Man Days: 2 |
| Inspection Unit: Peoria Persimmon St. | |
| Location of Audit: | |
| Exit Meeting Contact: John Sigler | |
| Inspection Type: Standard Inspection - Record Audit | |
| Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Kevin Hecker | |
| Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller | |
| Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com | |

| Headquarters Address Information: | 300 Liberty | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | Peoria, IL 61602 | |
| | Emergency Phone#: | |
| | Fax#: | |
| Official or Mayor's Name: | Ron Pate | |
| | Phone#: (217) 424-6518 | |
| | Email: | |
| Inspection Contact(s) | Title | Phone No. |
| John Sigler | Quality Assurance Consultant | |

| Gas System Operations | Status |
|--|----------------------|
| Gas Transporter | Ameren Illinois |
| Annual Report (Form 7100.1-1) reviewed for the year: | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | |
| Staff reviewed Ameren's annual report (covering all legacy companies and operating centers) during the Annual Report audit conducted Springfield, IL. No issues were identified during that audit. | at the ICC office in |
| Unaccounted for Gas | .53% |
| Number of Services | 825576 |
| Miles of Main | 16888 |
| Is the operator maintaining documentation verifying their Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure(s)? (MAOP) | Not Checked |

| General Comment: | | |
|--|---|----------------|
| MAOP records are housed at Decatur Plaza and | were reviewed during a previous audit. | |
| Operating Pressure (Feeder) | | varies by area |
| Operating Pressure (Town) | | varies by area |
| Operating Pressure (Other) | | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | • |
| Ameren identifies their pipeline as transmission o | or distribution. | |
| MAOP (Feeder) | | varies by area |
| MAOP (Town) | | varies by area |
| MAOP (Other) | | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | • |
| Ameren identifies their pipeline as transmission o | or distribution. | |
| Does the operator have any transmissi | on pipelines? | Yes |
| Regulatory Reporting Records | | Status |
| [191.5] | Were Telephonic Notices of Incidents reported to the NRC (800-424-8802)? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | • |
| There were no reportable incidents within the An | neren Peoria Operating territory in 2013. | |
| [191.9(a)] | Was a DOT Incident Report Form F7100.1 submitted within 30 days after detection of an incident? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | · | • |
| There were no reportable incidents within the An | neren Peoria Operating territory in 2013. | |
| [191.9(b)] | Were any supplemental incident reports submitted when deemed necessary? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | • |
| There were no reportable incidents within the An | neren Peoria Operating territory in 2013, therefore no supplemental reports were require | d. |
| Did the operator have any plastic pipe | failures in the past calendar year? | No |
| General Comment: | | • |
| Ameren Peoria did not have any plastic pipe failu | ures during 2013. | |
| Did the operator take action to mitigate components? | safety concerns relating to the failure of the PE or pipeline | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | • |

| [191.23(a)] | Did the operator report Safety Related Conditions? | Not Applicable |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| General Comment: | <u>'</u> | |
| There were no safety related conditions identified | ed in 2013. | |
| [191.25] | Did the Operator file a Safety Related Condition Report within 5 working days of determination, but not later than 10 working days after discovery? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | · | |
| There were no safety related conditions identified | ed in 2013. | |
| [192.16(c)] | Customer Notification: Has the operator notified each new customer within 90 days about the customer's responsibility regarding buried piping, however, operators of a master meter may continuously post a general notice in a permanent location? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Ameren Illinois sends all new customers notifica distributed as part of Ameren's Public Awarenes | ntion of responsibility at the time the customer signs up for service. This notification is in ac is Plan. | ddition to any brochure |
| | DRUG TESTING | Status |
| Refer to Drug and Alcohol Inspection I | Forms and Protocols | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| | | |
| A review of Ameren's drug and alcohol plan was | s completed in 2011 and not part of this audit. | |
| A review of Ameren's drug and alcohol plan was | TEST REQUIREMENTS | Status |
| A review of Ameren's drug and alcohol plan was [192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.517 | TEST REQUIREMENTS | Status Not Checked |
| | TEST REQUIREMENTS (c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping | |
| [192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.511 | TEST REQUIREMENTS (c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping | |
| [192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.511 | TEST REQUIREMENTS (c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping operating above 100 psig? ords are housed at Decatur Plaza and were reviewed during a previous audit. | |
| [192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.511 General Comment: High pressure distribution and transmission reco | TEST REQUIREMENTS (c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping operating above 100 psig? ords are housed at Decatur Plaza and were reviewed during a previous audit. Are pressure test records being maintained for at least 5 | Not Checked |
| [192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.517 General Comment: High pressure distribution and transmission recommends [192.517(b)][192.511,192.509,192.513 | TEST REQUIREMENTS (c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping operating above 100 psig? ords are housed at Decatur Plaza and were reviewed during a previous audit. Are pressure test records being maintained for at least 5 years on piping operating below 100 psig? | Not Checked |
| [192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.517] General Comment: High pressure distribution and transmission recoll [192.517(b)][192.511,192.509,192.513] General Comment: | TEST REQUIREMENTS (c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping operating above 100 psig? ords are housed at Decatur Plaza and were reviewed during a previous audit. Are pressure test records being maintained for at least 5 years on piping operating below 100 psig? | Not Checked |
| [192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.517] General Comment: High pressure distribution and transmission recomment: [192.517(b)][192.511,192.509,192.513] General Comment: Staff reviewed pressure test records on service | TEST REQUIREMENTS (c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping operating above 100 psig? ords are housed at Decatur Plaza and were reviewed during a previous audit. Are pressure test records being maintained for at least 5 years on piping operating below 100 psig? cards Were service lines temporarily disconnected from the | Not Checked Satisfactory |

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

| [400 555][400 555] | | |
|---|--|------------------------|
| [192.555][192.555] | Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of 30% or more SMYS? | Not Applicable |
| [192.557][192.557] | Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of less than 30% SMYS? | Not Applicable |
| | OPERATIONS | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.605(a)] | Has the operator conducted a review of the Operations and Maintenance Manual once per yr/15 months? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| The operator was able to provide records from to during a previous audit at the Pawnee Operating | he Subject Matter Reference Meetings that were conducted throughout 2013. These reco g Center in February 2014. | rds were reviewed |
| Has the operator conducted a review of | of the Operator Qualification Plan once per yr/15 months? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| The operator was able to show that the OQ plan Pawnee Operating Center in February 2014. | n review is part of the Subject Matter Reference Meetings. These records were reviewed of | luring an audit at the |
| [192.603(b)][192.605(b)(3)] | Are construction records, maps, and operating history available to operating personnel? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | · | |
| The laptops in each truck contain the records ar operating history information at the Operating C | nd maps of the gas system, as well as some of the operating history. Supervisors have accenter if necessary. | cess to additional |
| [192.603(b)][192.605(b)(8)] | Has the operator periodically reviewed personnel's work to determine the effectiveness of normal O&M procedures when deficiencies are found? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| This is done by Quality Assurance Personnel an Operating Center audit conducted in February 2 | nd supervisors during company field audits. Staff reviewed 2013 Quality Assurance Result 014. | s during the Pawnee |
| CONTIN | UING SURVEILLANCE RECORDS | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.613(a)] | Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes, failures, leak history, corrosion, changes in cathodic protection, and other unusual operating and maintenance conditions? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| This information is housed and currently being r | eviewed at the Decatur Plaza operating center. | |
| [192.491][192.489] | Does the operator have records verifying if exposed cast iron was examined for evidence of graphitization and if necessary what appropriate action was taken concerning graphitization? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| There is no cast iron pipeline remaining in Amer | ren Peoria's operating territory. | |
| | | |

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/5-29-2014

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

| facilities? | nce measures in facility locating contracts? | Not Checked |
|--|---|----------------|
| Does the operator have a Quality Assur | and the availability and use of the one call system? rance Program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of | Not Chasked |
| [192.603(b)][192.614(c)(3)] | Does the operator provide documentation pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, | Not Checked |
| [192.603(b)][192.617] | Does the operator track records of accidents due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failures are addressed to minimize the recurrence? | Not Checked |
| Has the number of damages increased | or decreased from prior year? | Not Checked |
| [192.603(b)][191.11(a)] | Did the operator track the number of damages per 1000 locate requests for the previous years? | Not Checked |
| | dit at the Pawnee Operating Center in February 2014. | |
| Category Comment: | | |
| · | AGE PREVENTION RECORDS | Status |
| Refer to operator Qualification Inspection | on Forms and Protocols | Not Checked |
| Operator Qualification was not checked as part o | f this audit. | |
| Category Comment: | ATION OF FIFELINE FEROUNNEE | - Claido |
| | ATION OF PIPELINE PERSONNEL | Status |
| There are no caulked bell and spigot joints remain | ning in Ameren Peoria's operating territory | |
| [192.603(b)][192.753(b)] General Comment: | Is each cast iron caulked bell and spigot joint that is subject to a pressure of 25 (psig.) or less and is exposed for any reason sealed as required? | Not Applicable |
| There are no caulked bell and spigot joints remail | | |
| General Comment: | | |
| [192.603(b)][192.753(a)] | Is each cast iron caulked bell and spigot joint that is subject to a pressure of more than 25 (psig.) sealed as required? | Not Applicable |
| There is no cast iron pipeline remaining in Amere | n Peoria's operating territory. | |
| General Comment: | journalistic. | |
| [192.603(b)][192.755] | Does the operator have surveillance records of cast iron pipelines, including appropriate action resulting from tracking circumferential cracking failures, study of leak history, or any other unusual operating and maintenance conditions? | Not Applicable |

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/5-29-2014

| [IL ADM. CO.265.100(b)(1)] | Was third party damage to mains involving a release of gas reported to ICC JULIE Enforcement? http://www.icc.illinois.gov/julie/ | Not Checked |
|---|---|---------------|
| Has the Operator adopted applicable se | ction of the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices? | Not Checked |
| If no, were Common Ground Alliance Be | est Practices discussed with Operator? | Not Checked |
| | EMERGENCY PLANS | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.615(b)(1)] | Are supervisors, responsible for emergency action, furnished copies of the latest edition of the Emergency Plan? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| The Emergency Plan is reviewed January of each | year by all company employees. All employees have access to the emergency plan at a | all times. |
| [192.603(b)][192.615(b)(2)] | Has the operator maintained documentation that the appropriate operating personnel have received training to assure they are knowledgeable of emergency procedures and that the training was effective? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Ameren documents training, including emergency | training, with an electronic online system. | |
| [192.603(b)][192.615(b)(3)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of employee activity reviews to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| Reviews are conducted and documented by super situations in 2013. | rvisors as well as QA personnel after an emergency. Ameren Peoria did not experience | any emergency |
| [192.603(b)][192.615(c)] | Has the operator maintained documentation that the operator established and maintained liaison with appropriate fire, police and other public officials? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| This documentation was reviewed during a previo | us audit at the Pawnee Operating Center in February 2014. | |
| [192.603(b)][192.615(a)(3)] | Did the review of emergency response time intervals regarding odor/leak complaint documentation indicate adequate emergency response intervals were achieved? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed emergency response intervals duri | ng a previous audit at Ameren's Pawnee operating center. | |
| PUBLIC AW | ARENESS PROGRAM - RECORDS | Status |
| Refer to Public Awareness Program Ins | pection Forms and Protocols | Not Checked |
| | | |

| (| DDORIZATION OF GAS | Status |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| [192.603(b)][192.625(f)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of odorant concentration level testing using an instrument? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | 3 0 | |
| The operator was able to provide documentation in TS and 3484-TS) | dicating that odorant concentration level testing was conducted utilizing Heath Odorato | rs (serial numbers 414 |
| Staff also confirmed the calibration dates for this ed | quipment: | |
| 414-TS: 11/2/12 & 11/4/13 3484-TS: 2/14/12 & 2/7/13 | | |
| [192.603(b)][192.625(e)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of odorizer tank levels? | Unsatisfactory |
| Issue Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed documentation of odorant tank level months of January - May 2013. | ls for 2013. These records indicate that the odorant usage at the Delevan odorant station | on was deficient for the |
| January: .58 lbs/MMCF February: .57 lbs/MMCF March: .39 lbs/MMCF April: .39 lbs/MMCF May: .48 lbs/MMCF | | |
| All well below the minimum rate of .65lbs/MMCF | | |
| [192.603(b)][192.625(f)(1)] | Are master meter operators receiving written verification of odorant concentration levels from their gas supplier? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | · | |
| Ameren Peoria is not a master meter operator. | | |
| [192.603(b)][192.625(f)(2)] | Has the master meter operator maintained documentation of sniff tests performed as required by this section? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| Ameren Peoria is not a master meter operator. | | |
| PATRO | LLING & LEAKAGE SURVEY | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.721(b)(1)] | Is the operator patrolling business districts at a minimum of 4 per year/4 1/2 months? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | • | - |
| Ameren Peoria has determined that business distri | ct surveys are only required once annually. 2013 records indicate the entire business of | district was patrolled. |
| [192.603(b)][192.721(b)(2)] | Is the operator patrolling outside business districts at a | |

| [192.603(b)][192.723(b)(1)] | Is the operator performing leakage surveys in business districts at a minimum of 1 per year/ 15 months? If the operator has inside meter sets that meet this criterion then were those surveyed? | Satisfactory |
|--|--|---------------------|
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed Leakage Survey records for 2013. In order to selected randomly and confirmed on survey records. No defi | determine if inside meter sets were included in the survey, addresses of insidiciencies were found. | de meter sets were |
| [192.603(b)][192.723(b)(2)] | Is the operator performing leakage surveys outside a business district at a minimum of every 5 years/63 months? Is the operator performing leakage surveys on cathodically unprotected pipelines at a minimum of every 3 years/39 months? If the operator has inside meter sets that meet this criterion then were those surveyed? If the operator has yard lines then are those being surveyed? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | · | |
| There are 446 residential inside meter sets and they are surv cycle. Yard lines are surveyed up to the structure wall. | reyed on a 3 year cycle. There are 169 business inside meter sets which are | surveyed on a 1 yea |
| YARD LIN | ES - RESIDENTIAL | Status |
| [220 ILCS 2.2.03] | Has the operator designated and documented the location of all services where the meter is located more than 3 feet away from the wall of a residence? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed records for yard lines in the Peoria operating to | erritory. | |
| [192.463,220 ILCS 2.2.03][220 ILCS 2.2.03] | Has the operator determined if cathodic protection is required on these services? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Ameren Peoria does not provide cathodic protection for yard | lines. (fuel lines) | |
| [192.723(b)(1),192.723(b)(2)][220 ILCS 2.2.03] | After the determination of the cathodic protection requirements, has the operator surveyed each line within the required leakage survey intervals? | Satisfactory |
| | | |
| General Comment: | | |
| General Comment: Yard lines are surveyed within the correct intervals. | | |
| Yard lines are surveyed within the correct intervals. | /ATION of FACILITIES PROCEDURES | Status |

| is not done for distribution. | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| [192.603(b)][192.727(c)] | Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each inactive pipeline that is not being maintained under this part was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas; purged of gas? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Separate documentation for purging is done only is not done for distribution. | on high pressure distribution and transmission lines. Engineering typically writes up a "p | urge plan", however this |
| [192.603(b)][192.727(d)] | Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that whenever service to a customer was discontinued access to gas was either; locked, prevented by a mechanical fitting, or disconnected from the gas supply and open pipe ends sealed? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| Ameren uses a computerized service order syste | em to record services that are locked off and the method used. (typically services are pin- | -locked) |
| [192.603(b)][192.727(e)] | Did the operator maintain documentation when air was used for purging that a combustible mixture was not present after purging? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| Separate documentation for purging is done only is not done for distribution. | γ on high pressure distribution and transmission lines. Engineering typically writes up a "po | urge plan", however this |
| [192.727(g)][192.727(g)] | Did the operator maintain documentation for each abandoned onshore pipeline facility that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| There are no abandoned pipelines crossing navi | gable waterways within Ameren Peoria's territory. | |
| PRESSU | IRE LIMITING AND REGULATION | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.739(a)] | Is the operator inspecting and testing the pressure limiting and regulating stations at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | • | |
| Staff reviewed regulator inspections for 2013. | | |
| [192.603(b)][192.743(a)] | Is the operator inspecting pressure limiting and regulating stations for adequate capacity at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff was able to confirm regulators are checked | annual for capacity. These inspections are listed as "engineering evaluations" in Amerer | n's GCS system. |
| [192.603(b)][192.743(b)] | If the operator used calculations to determine sufficient capacity, were the calculation reviews documented at a | Satisfactory |

| | minimum of 1 per year/15 months? | |
|--|--|--------------------|
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff was able to confirm regulators are checked annual for ca | pacity. These inspections are listed as "engineering evaluations" in Amere | n's GCS system. |
| [192.603(b)][192.741(a),192.741(b)] | Is each distribution system supplied by more than one district pressure regulating station, or where required by the operator on a system supplied by a single regulating station, equipped with telemetering or recording pressure gauges to indicate the gas pressure in the district? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed telemetering records for 2013. | | |
| [192.603(b)][192.741(c)] | If there were indications of abnormally high- or low- pressure, were actions taken to correct any unsatisfactory operating conditions? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| These records are housed at Decatur Gas Control. A review of | of these records will be conducted at a later date. | |
| [192.603(b)][192.743(a),192.743(b),192.195(b)(2) | Is overpressure protection provided by the supplier pipeline downstream of the take point? | Yes |
| General Comment: | | |
| Each take point within the Ameren Peoria operations territory i | s protected by an over pressure relief valve. | |
| [192.603(b)][192.743(a)] | If Yes, does the operator have documentation to verify that these devices have adequate capacity? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff was able to confirm relief valves are checked annually for | r capacity. These inspections are listed as "engineering evaluations" in Am | eren's GCS system. |
| VALVE | MAINTENANCE | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.747(a),192.747(b)] | Did the operator inspect and maintain distribution valves necessary for the safe operation of the system at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed valve inspections from 2013 with no issues idea | ntified. | |
| [192.603(b)][192.749(a)] | Did the operator inspect and maintain vaults > 200 cubic feet at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| There are no vaults within Ameren Peoria's operating territory. | | |
| Investiga | ation Of Failures | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.617] | Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring analysis? | Not Applicable |

| General Comment: | | |
|--|---|------------------------|
| There were no failures or accidents requiring analy | rsis in the Ameren Peoria territory for 2013. | |
| W | ELDING OF STEEL PIPE | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.225(b)] | Does the operator have documentation for their qualified welding procedure? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| The operator's welding procedures are included in | the O&M in the section titled, WELD - Welding. | |
| [192.603(b)][192.227,192.229] | Does the operator have documentation of welder qualification documentation as required? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed documentation of welder qualification | on/re-qualification during a record audit at the Pawnee Operating Center in February 201 | 14. |
| [192.709][192.243(b)(2)] | Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualification as required? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| The NDT records were reviewed at a previous aud | it at Decatur Plaza. | |
| [192.709][192.243(f)] | Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| The NDT records were reviewed at a previous aud | it at Decatur Plaza. | |
| JOINING OF I | MATERIAL OTHER THAN WELDING | Status |
| [192.603(b)][192.285] | Are persons making joints with plastic pipe qualified? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | <u>'</u> | |
| The operator was able to provide documentation o Operating Center in February 2014. | f qualification for persons making plastic joints. These records were reviewed during an | audit at the Pawnee |
| [192.603(b)][192.287] | Are persons inspecting plastic pipe joints qualified? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| The operator was able to provide documentation o Operating Center in February 2014. | f qualification for persons inspecting plastic joints. These records were reviewed during | an audit at the Pawnee |
| [192.603(b)][192.283] | Are qualified joining procedures for plastic pipe in place? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| The joining procedures are located in section "POL | Y - Polyethylene Pipe" of the operator's O&M | |
| CORR | OSION CONTROL RECORDS | Status |
| [192.491(a)][192.491(a)] | Has the operator maintained maps or records of | Satisfactory |

| | cathodically protected piping, cathodic protection facilities, galvanic anodes, and neighboring structures bonded to the cathodic protection system? | |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed GCS maps for the Peoria area, as well as re | ecords identifying bonds, adjacent metallic structures, etc. No deficiencies were | e identified. |
| [192.491][192.459] | Has the operator maintained documentation of an examination when buried pipe was exposed? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed several buried pipe examination forms with | no deficiencies identified. | |
| [192.491][192.465(a)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of annual pipe-to-soil monitoring performed at a minimum of 1 per yr/15 months and/or isolated services or short sections of main less than 100 feet at a minimum of 10% annually? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff was able to review Ameren's CTS Corrosion tracking | system and spot checked several CP survey entries. No deficiencies were four | nd. |
| [192.491][192.465(b)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of rectifier or other impressed current power sources inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| Staff was able to review Ameren's CTS Corrosion tracking | system and spot checked several rectifier inspections. No deficiencies were for | und. |
| [192.491][192.465(c)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff was able to review Ameren's CTS Corrosion tracking | system and spot checked documentation pertaining to interference bonds. No | deficiencies were found. |
| [192.491][192.465(d)] | Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| No deficiencies were discovered during this record audit. | | |
| [192.491][192.465(e)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| There is no unprotected pipeline within Ameren Peoria's te | rritory. | |
| [192.491][192.467(a),192.467(c),192.467(d)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of | Satisfactory |

| | inspections or tests for electrical isolation at casings? | |
|--|---|-----------------|
| General Comment: | • | |
| Staff reviewed the corrosion records for casings | . All records that were reviewed indicated that isolation and CP readings are taken annua | lly at casings. |
| [192.491][192.469] | Does the operator have a sufficient number of test stations or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protection? | Satisfactory |
| [192.491][192.471] | Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | · | |
| There were no instances of test leads becoming | electrically unconductive in 2013. | |
| [192.491][192.473(b)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | · | |
| Ameren identifies these as "adjacent foreign cro | ssings", and check them annually. | |
| [192.491][192.475(a)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | <u> </u> | |
| Corrosion records including coupons are house | d at Decatur Plaza. These records were reviewed during a previous audit. | |
| [192.491][192.475(b)] | Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| Corrosion records including coupons are house | d at Decatur Plaza. These records were reviewed during a previous audit. | |
| [192.491][192.477] | Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | • | |
| 0 | d at Decatur Plaza. These records were reviewed during a previous audit. | |
| Corrosion records including coupons are nouse | | |

| [192.491][192.479] | Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective action where atmospheric corrosion was discovered? | Satisfactory |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| General Comment: | · | |
| Staff reviewed documentation of atmospheric corrosion number associated with the issue to verify work was co | n issues identified during a previous leak survey, then used the GCS system to trac Impleted. | k the work order |
| [192.491][192.483(a),192.483(b),192.483(c) | Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that pipe removed due to external corrosion has been repaired or replaced with pipe that was coated and cathodically protected? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | · | |
| No pipe in the Ameren Peoria operating territory was re O&M stipulates that cathodic protection is applied to th | emoved due to external corrosion, however, all steel repair pipe used in Ameren's s e repaired pipeline within 1 year. | system is coated. The |
| TRAININ | G - 83 IL ADM. CODE 520 | Status |
| | | |
| [520.10(a) (1)] | Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? | Satisfactory |
| [520.10(a) (1)] General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate | |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of next training session is due. | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? training completed. This shows all company training, date of completion and include | |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? | |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of next training session is due. | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? training completed. This shows all company training, date of completion and include Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on | les reminders for when |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of next training session is due. [520.10(a) (2)] | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? training completed. This shows all company training, date of completion and includ Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification? | les reminders for when |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of next training session is due. [520.10(a) (2)] General Comment: | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? training completed. This shows all company training, date of completion and includ Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification? | les reminders for when |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of next training session is due. [520.10(a) (2)] General Comment: These training records were reviewed in Pawnee Oper | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? training completed. This shows all company training, date of completion and include Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification? ating Center during a previous audit in February 2014. Has the municipal operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate | les reminders for when Satisfactory |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of next training session is due. [520.10(a) (2)] General Comment: These training records were reviewed in Pawnee Oper [520.10(b)] General Comment: | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? training completed. This shows all company training, date of completion and include Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification? ating Center during a previous audit in February 2014. Has the municipal operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate | les reminders for when Satisfactory |
| General Comment: Ameren Illinois utilizes an electronic records system of next training session is due. [520.10(a) (2)] General Comment: These training records were reviewed in Pawnee Oper [520.10(b)] | demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training? training completed. This shows all company training, date of completion and include Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification? ating Center during a previous audit in February 2014. Has the municipal operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate | les reminders for when |